7.3 AVL-Trees

Definition 1

AVL-trees are binary search trees that fulfill the following balance condition. For every node v

$$|\text{height}(\text{left sub-tree}(v)) - \text{height}(\text{right sub-tree}(v))| \leq 1 \ .$$

Lemma 2

An AVL-tree of height h contains at least $F_{h+2} - 1$ and at most $2^h - 1$ internal nodes, where F_n is the n-th Fibonacci number ($F_0 = 0$, $F_1 = 1$), and the height is the maximal number of edges from the root to an (empty) dummy leaf.

AVL trees

Proof.

The upper bound is clear, as a binary tree of height h can only contain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{h-1} 2^j = 2^h - 1$$

internal nodes.

AVL trees

Proof (cont.)

Induction (base cases):

1. an AVL-tree of height $h = 1$ contains at least one internal node, $1 \geq F_3 - 1 = 2 - 1 = 1$.

2. an AVL tree of height $h = 2$ contains at least two internal nodes, $2 \geq F_4 - 1 = 3 - 1 = 2$

Induction step:

An AVL-tree of height $h \geq 2$ of minimal size has a root with sub-trees of height $h - 1$ and $h - 2$, respectively. Both, sub-trees have minmal node number.

Let

$g_h := 1 +$ minimal size of AVL-tree of height h .

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_1 &= 2 & &= F_3 \\
g_2 &= 3 & &= F_4 \\
g_h - 1 &= 1 + g_{h-1} - 1 + g_{h-2} - 1 , & &\text{hence} \\
g_h &= g_{h-1} + g_{h-2} & &= F_{h+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

7.3 AVL-Trees

An AVL-tree of height h contains at least $F_{h+2} - 1$ internal nodes. Since

$$n + 1 \geq F_{h+2} = \Omega\left(\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^h\right) \,,$$

we get

$$n \geq \Omega\left(\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^h\right) \,,$$

and, hence, $h = \mathcal{O}(\log n)$.

7.3 AVL-Trees

We need to maintain the balance condition through rotations.

For this we store in every internal tree-node v the balance of the node. Let v denote a tree node with left child c_ℓ and right child c_r.

$$\text{balance}[v] := \text{height}(T_{c_\ell}) - \text{height}(T_{c_r}) \ ,$$

where T_{c_ℓ} and T_{c_r}, are the sub-trees rooted at c_ℓ and c_r, respectively.

Rotations

The properties will be maintained through rotations:

Double Rotations

AVL-trees: Insert

- ▶ Insert like in a binary search tree.
- ▶ Let w denote the parent of the newly inserted node x.
- ▶ One of the following cases holds:

$\text{bal}(w) = -1$ $\text{bal}(w) = 0$ $\text{bal}(w) = 0$ $\text{bal}(w) = 1$

- ▶ If $\text{bal}[w] \neq 0$, T_w has changed height; the balance-constraint may be violated at ancestors of w.
- ▶ Call AVL-fix-up-insert($\text{parent}[w]$) to restore the balance-condition.

AVL-trees: Insert

Invariant at the beginning of AVL-fix-up-insert(v):

1. The balance constraints hold at all descendants of v.

2. A node has been inserted into T_c, where c is either the right or left child of v.

3. T_c has increased its height by one (otw. we would already have aborted the fix-up procedure).

4. The balance at node c fulfills balance$[c] \in \{-1, 1\}$. This holds because if the balance of c is 0, then T_c did not change its height, and the whole procedure would have been aborted in the previous step.

> Note that these constraints hold for the first call AVL-fix-up-insert(parent[w]).

AVL-trees: Insert

Algorithm 11 AVL-fix-up-insert(v)

1: **if** balance[v] $\in \{-2, 2\}$ **then** DoRotationInsert(v);
2: **if** balance[v] $\in \{0\}$ **return**;
3: AVL-fix-up-insert(parent[v]);

We will show that the above procedure is correct, and that it will do at most one rotation.

AVL-trees: Insert

Algorithm 12 DoRotationInsert(v)

1: **if** balance[v] $= -2$ **then** // insert in right sub-tree
2:       **if** balance[right[v]] $= -1$ **then**
3:             LeftRotate(v);
4:       **else**
5:             DoubleLeftRotate(v);
6: **else** // insert in left sub-tree
7:       **if** balance[left[v]] $= 1$ **then**
8:             RightRotate(v);
9:       **else**
10:             DoubleRightRotate(v);

AVL-trees: Insert

It is clear that the invariant for the fix-up routine holds as long as no rotations have been done.

We have to show that after doing one rotation **all** balance constraints are fulfilled.

We show that after doing a rotation at v:

- ▶ v fulfills balance condition.
- ▶ All children of v still fulfill the balance condition.
- ▶ The height of T_v is the same as before the insert-operation took place.

We only look at the case where the insert happened into the right sub-tree of v. The other case is symmetric.

AVL-trees: Insert

We have the following situation:

The right sub-tree of v has increased its height which results in a balance of -2 at v.

Before the insertion the height of T_v was $h + 1$.

Case 1: balance[right[v]] = −1

We do a left rotation at v

Now, the subtree has height $h + 1$ as before the insertion.
Hence, we do not need to continue.

# Case 2: balance[right[v]] = 1


RightRotate(x)

DoubleLeftRotate(v)

LeftRotate(v)

Height is $h + 1$, as before the insert.

AVL-trees: Delete

- ▶ Delete like in a binary search tree.
- ▶ Let v denote the parent of the node that has been spliced out.
- ▶ The balance-constraint may be violated at v, or at ancestors of v, as a sub-tree of a child of v has reduced its height.
- ▶ Initially, the node c—the new root in the sub-tree that has changed—is either a dummy leaf or a node with two dummy leafs as children.

Case 1   Case 2

In both cases $\mathrm{bal}[c] = 0$.

- ▶ Call AVL-fix-up-delete(v) to restore the balance-condition.

AVL-trees: Delete

Invariant at the beginning AVL-fix-up-delete(v):

1. The balance constraints holds at all descendants of v.
2. A node has been deleted from T_c, where c is either the right or left child of v.
3. T_c has decreased its height by one.
4. The balance at the node c fulfills $\text{balance}[c] = 0$. This holds because if the balance of c is in $\{-1, 1\}$, then T_c did not change its height, and the whole procedure would have been aborted in the previous step.

AVL-trees: Delete

Algorithm 13 AVL-fix-up-delete(v)

 1: **if** balance[v] $\in \{-2, 2\}$ **then** DoRotationDelete(v);
 2: **if** balance[v] $\in \{-1, 1\}$ **return**;
 3: AVL-fix-up-delete(parent[v]);

We will show that the above procedure is correct. However, for the case of a delete there may be a logarithmic number of rotations.

Algorithm 14 DoRotationDelete(v)

1: **if** balance[v] = -2 **then** // deletion in left sub-tree
2:     **if** balance[right[v]] $\in \{0, -1\}$ **then**
3:         LeftRotate(v);
4:     **else**
5:         DoubleLeftRotate(v);
6: **else** // deletion in right sub-tree
7:     **if** balance[left[v]] = $\{0, 1\}$ **then**
8:         RightRotate(v);
9:     **else**
10:         DoubleRightRotate(v);

Note that the case distinction on the second level (bal[right[v]] and bal[left[v]]) is not done w.r.t. the child c for which the sub-tree T_c has changed. This is different to AVL-fix-up-insert.

AVL-trees: Delete

It is clear that the invariant for the fix-up routine hold as long as no rotations have been done.

We show that after doing a rotation at v:

- ▸ v fulfills the balance condition.
- ▸ All children of v still fulfill the balance condition.
- ▸ If now balance$[v] \in \{-1, 1\}$ we can stop as the height of T_v is the same as before the deletion.

We only look at the case where the deleted node was in the right sub-tree of v. The other case is symmetric.

AVL-trees: Delete

We have the following situation:

The right sub-tree of v has decreased its height which results in a balance of 2 at v.

Before the deletion the height of T_v was $h + 2$.

Case 1: balance[left[v]] $\in$ {0, 1}


RightRotate(v)

If the middle subtree has height h the whole tree has height $h + 2$ as before the deletion. The iteration stops as the balance at the root is non-zero.

If the middle subtree has height $h - 1$ the whole tree has decreased its height from $h + 2$ to $h + 1$. We do continue the fix-up procedure as the balance at the root is zero.

Case 2: balance[left[v]] = −1

LeftRotate(x)

RightRotate(v)

DoubleRightRotate(v)

Sub-tree has height $h + 1$, i.e., it has shrunk. The balance at y is zero. We continue the iteration.

AVL Trees

Bibliography

[OW02] Thomas Ottmann, Peter Widmayer:
        Algorithmen und Datenstrukturen,
        Spektrum, 4th edition, 2002

[GT98] Michael T. Goodrich, Roberto Tamassia
        Data Structures and Algorithms in JAVA,
        John Wiley, 1998

Chapter 5.2.1 of [OW02] contains a detailed description of AVL-trees, albeit only in German.

AVL-trees are covered in [GT98] in Chapter 7.4. However, the coverage is a lot shorter than in [OW02].